· INTEL PROP 220 10W

OCT 0 4 2005 651 736 6133

10/04/05 12:46 ⓑ :01/03 NO:871

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM	Application Number	10/809135		
	Filing Date	March 25, 2004		
	First Named Inventor	Lee, Tzu-Chen		
	Art Unit	2811		
	Examiner Name	VU,	Hun	g K.
Fax: 571-273-8300	Attorney Docket Number	58994US002		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission: 3				
Date: OCTOBER 4, 2005	Attorney for Applicant: Kent S. Kokko			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form	Petition			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and
Saue Fee Transmittal				Interferences
☐ Amendment/Reply	Petition to Convert a Provisional			Appeal Communication to
After Final	Application	ionai	ш	Technology Center (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
☐ Affidavits/Declaration(s)				Rodice, Brief, Reply Brief)
☐ Extension of Time Request	☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation			Proprietary Information
Express Abandonment Request	Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter
Information Disclosure Statement	☐ Terminał Disclaimer		Other Enclosures:  Restriction Requirement-	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Request for Refund		Election of Species	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR § 1.52 or 1.53	Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittel			
Response to Missing Parts under 35 USC 371 in US Designated/ Elected Office (DO/EO/US)				
☐ Drawings	After Allowance Communication to Technol Center	ology	gy	
REMARKS:				

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON OR ENTITY NAMED BELOW.

If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, use, disclose, distribute or copy this transmission.

If this transmission was received in error, please immediately notify me by telephone directly and 1-733-3597 or 651-733-1500, and we will arrange for its return at no cost to you.

## RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OCT 0 4 2005

Patent Case No.: 58994US002

32692 Customer Number

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor:

LEE, TZU-CHEN

Application No.:

10/809135

Group Art Unit: 2811

Filed:

March 25, 2004

Examiner:

VU, Hung K.

Title:

ORGANIC SCHOTTKY DIODE

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT-ELECTION OF SPECIES

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Itransmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300.

OCT - 4 2005

Date

Dear Sir:

This is in response to an Office Action mailed on September 8, 2005, in which two species of the claimed invention were identified, and Applicant was required to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits. With this response, Applicant elects, with traverse, to pursue embodiment 2. Claims 1-18 read on embodiment 2. Claims 1-8 are generic to both embodiment 1 and embodiment 2.

Applicant disagrees, however, that the application discloses separate and distinct inventions requiring election therebetween and respectfully requests reconsideration of the restriction requirement. The invention is an organic Schottky diode. A diode is an electronic device that includes a rectifying contact and an ohmic contact. The application contains three independent claims: claim 1 claims a diode including four layers; claim 9 claims the same four layers as claim 1, but built upon a substrate; and claim 19 claims the same four layers as claim 1, but built upon a substrate in the opposite order as in claim 9. The two alleged embodiments identified in the restriction requirement were: embodiment 1, which has the ohmic contact of the

Application No.: 10/809135 Case No.: 58994US002

diode in contact with the substrate and embodiment 2, which has the rectifying contact of the diode in contact with the substrate. However, claim 1 does not include a substrate. Therefore, it is not included in either embodiment identified in the Office Action, and it is broader than any embodiment identified in the Office Action.

The Examiner stated that there is no generic claim in the application. However, this is incorrect because claim 1 is clearly a generic claim. While 35 U.S.C. § 121 provides that restriction may be required to one of two or more independent and distinct inventions, 35 C.F.R. § 1.141 provides that a reasonable number of species may still be claimed in one application. See M.P.E.P. 801.04(a). 37 C.F.R. 1.141 allows that a reasonable number of species may be specifically claimed in different claims "provided the application also includes an allowable claim generic to all the claimed species and all the claims to species in excess of one are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of the generic claim." In the present application, claim 1 is a generic claim. Claims 9-18 and claims 19-27 include all of the limitations of generic claim 1. Therefore, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.141, Applicant is allowed to claim the two species of claims 9-18 and claims 19-27 in addition to generic claim 1.

For all of the foregoing reasons, Applicant believes that the restriction requirement should be withdrawn. Reconsideration and notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

Kent S. Kokko, Reg. No.: 33,931

Telephone No.: 651-733-3597

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833

Dother 4 2005